IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

C.P. No. 90 of 2003

BALASAHEB SOPAN SUTKAR ... Petitioner
V/S
SURESH S.WADKAR AND ORS. ... Respondents

SHRI. S.G.KUDLE for petitioner
Imtiyas Ahmed for respondent no.3.
M.H. Solkar for respondent` no2. And 5.

CORAM: D. G. KARNIK **J.**

DATE: 13th November, 2006

P.C.:

1. Mr. Kudle learned counsel for the petitioner states that the contempt alleged is not in respect of breach of an order but for interference in the administration of justice. Hence the contempt alleged is a criminal contempt and mater is required to be placed before Division Bench. Ordered accordingly.

(D.G. KARNIK J.)